

SECOM Passport for Web SR
Certification Authority Certificate Policy
Version 3.05

August 21, 2024

SECOM Trust Systems Co., Ltd.

Version History		
Version Number	Date	Description
1.00	2008/02/25	Publication of the first version
1.10	2008/09/19	Contact information change
1.20	2009/05/13	Addition of the certificate validity period of five (5) years
1.30	2012/02/15	"5.6 Key Changeover" - Addition of Certificate Renewal - Addition of the validity period of the CA keys
1.40	2012/11/09	Amendment associated with commencement of the OCSP server operations Addition of SubjectAltName to the Certificate Profile
2.00	2013/12/02	Major version upgrade Renaming of "SECOM Passport for Web SR2.0 CA Certificate Policy" to "SECOM Passport for Web SR CA Certificate Policy" and addition of the CA Private Key "SECOM Passport for Web SR3.0 CA"
2.10	2014/01/15	Revision of the descriptions
2.20	2014/09/25	Removal of Basic Constraints in "Certificate Profile" Addition of the certificate validity period of three (3) years Revision of the descriptions
2.30	2015/04/15	Addition of the CAA description
2.40	2015/12/25	Addition of the "Authentication of Domain Name" provisions
2.50	2017/05/23	Removal of "SECOM Passport for Web SR2.0 CA" Revision of the acceptance and issuance dates for Renewal/Re-Keying requests Overall revision of the descriptions and styles
2.60	2017/09/07	Correction of the "CAA Records" description.
2.70	2018/03/01	Revision of the retention period for Archive Removal of the certificate validity period of three (3) years
2.80	2018/03/29	Addition of the Certificate Transparency Extension description

		Registration of the OV identifier
2.90	2018/08/01	Correction of description about Authentication of Domain. Revision of the descriptions
2.91	2019/05/24	Overall revision of the descriptions and styles
2.92	2020/03/30	Revised chapters and added some " No Stipulation" content
2.93	2020/09/01	Removal of "Certificate validity period 2 years"
2.94	2020/09/29	Revision of Reason code for CRL profile
2.95	2021/05/31	Correction of the description about domain authentication Correction of the certificate revocation reasons Addition of the special requirements for key compromise
2.96	2021/06/15	Revision of the descriptions and styles Correction of the certificate revocation reasons
2.97	2021/11/30	Correction of the description about domain authentication Overall revision of the descriptions and styles
3.00	2022/06/10	Overall revision of the descriptions and styles
3.01	2023/02/17	Addition of "SECOM Passport for Web OV RSA CA 2023" Addition of OID for "1.2 Document Name and Identification" Addition of definition for "1.6 Definitions and Acronyms" Addition of CAs for "3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation" Addition of CAs and correction of the description for "7.1 Certificate Profile" Addition of CAs and correction of the description for "7.2 CRL Profile"
3.02	2023/05/17	Update "2.3 Time or Frequency of Publication" Update "4.9.1 Circumstances for Certificate Revocation" Update "5.5.1 Types of Records Archived" Update "5.5.2 Retention Period for Archive" Update "5.5.3 Protection of Archive" Update "5.5.4 Archive Backup Procedures" Update "5.5.5 Requirements for Time-Stamping of Records"

		<p>Update “5.5.6 Archive Collection System”</p> <p>Update “5.5.7 Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information”</p> <p>Update “5.7.1 Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures”</p> <p>Update “5.7.2 Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data are Corrupted”</p> <p>Update “5.7.3 Entity Private Key Compromise Procedures”</p> <p>Update “5.7.4 Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster”</p> <p>Update “7.1 Certificate Profile”</p> <p>Update “7.2.2 Certificate Revocation Lists and CRL Entry Extensions”</p>
3.03	2023/08/28	<p>Update “1.6 Definitions and Acronyms”</p> <p>Update “7.1 Certificate Profile”</p>
3.04	2024/04/01	<p>Removal of “SECOM Passport for Web OV RSA CA 2023”</p> <p>Update “1.1 Overview”</p> <p>Update “1.4.1 Appropriate Certificate Uses”</p> <p>Update “1.5.2 Contact Information”</p> <p>Update “1.6 Definitions and Acronyms”</p> <p>Update “3.1.1 Types of Names”</p> <p>Update “3.2.2 Authentication of Organization Identity”</p> <p>Update “3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication”</p> <p>Update “3.2.3 Identity”</p> <p>Update “3.2.4 Non-Verified Subscriber Information”</p> <p>Update “3.2.5 Validation of Authority”</p> <p>Update “3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation”</p> <p>Update “4.5.1 Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage”</p> <p>Update “4.9.8 Maximum Latency for CRLs”</p> <p>Update “6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer”</p> <p>Update “6.1.7 Key Usage Purposes”</p> <p>Update “7.1 Certificate Profile”</p> <p>Update “7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifier”</p> <p>Update “7.2 CRL Profile”</p>

		Update “8.4 Topics Covered by Assessment” Update “
3.05	2024/08/21	Update the below: 1.3.1 CA 1.3.2 RA 1.3.3 Subscribers 1.3.4 Relying Party 1.6 Definitions and Acronyms 2.1 Repository 2.2 Publication of Certificate Information 4.1.2 Enrollment Process and Responsibilities 4.1.2 Enrollment Process and Responsibilities 4.2.1 Performing Identification and Authentication Functions 4.9.1 Circumstances for Certificate Revocation 4.9.7 CRL Issuance Frequency 6.1.1 Key Pair Generation 7.1 Certificate Profile 7.2 CRL Profile 7.2.2 CRL Entry Extensions Delete the below: 4.2.4 CAA Records Processing

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Document Name and Identification.....	2
1.3 PKI Participants.....	2
1.3.1 CA	2
1.3.2 RA	3
1.3.3 Subscribers.....	3
1.3.4 Relying Parties	3
1.3.5 Other Parties	3
1.4 Certificate Usage.....	4
1.4.1 Appropriate Certificate Uses	4
1.4.2 Prohibited Certificate Uses.....	4
1.5 Policy Administration	4
1.5.1 Organization Administering the Document	4
1.5.2 Contact Information	4
1.5.3 Person Determining CP Suitability for the Policy	5
1.5.4 Approval Procedure	5
1.6 Definitions and Acronyms.....	5
2. Publication and Repository Responsibilities.....	11
2.1 Repository	11
2.2 Publication of Certificate Information.....	11
2.3 Time or Frequency of Publication	11
2.4 Access Controls on Repository	12
3. Identification and Authentication.....	13
3.1 Naming.....	13
3.1.1 Types of Names	13
3.1.2 Need for Names to Be Meaningful	13
3.1.3 Anonymity or Pseudonymity of Subscribers.....	13
3.1.4 Rules for Interpreting Various Name Forms.....	13
3.1.5 Uniqueness of Names	13
3.1.6 Recognition, Authentication, and Roles of Trademarks	14
3.2 Initial Identity Validation.....	14
3.2.1 Method to Prove Possession of Private Key.....	14
3.2.2 Authentication of Organization Identity.....	14
3.2.2.1 Identity	14

3.2.2.2 DBA/Tradename.....	15
3.2.2.3 Verification of Country	15
3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication	15
3.2.2.5 Authentication for an IP Address	18
3.2.2.6 Wildcard Domain Validation	18
3.2.2.7 Data Source Accuracy	18
3.2.2.8 CAA Records	19
3.2.3 Authentication of Individual Identity	19
3.2.4 Non-Verified Subscriber Information.....	19
3.2.5 Validation of Authority.....	19
3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation.....	20
3.3 Identification and Authentication for Re-Key Requests.....	20
3.3.1 Identification and Authentication for Routine Re-Key.....	20
3.3.2 Identification and Authentication for Re-Key after Revocation.....	20
3.4 Identification and Authentication for Revocation Requests	20
4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements	21
4.1 Certificate Application	21
4.1.1 Who May Submit a Certificate Application.....	21
4.1.2 Enrollment Process and Responsibilities.....	21
4.2 Certificate Application Processing	22
4.2.1 Performing Identification and Authentication Functions	22
4.2.2 Approval or Rejection of Certificate Applications	23
4.2.3 Time to Process Certificate Applications	23
4.3 Certificate Issuance.....	23
4.3.1 CA Actions during Certificate Issuance	23
4.3.2 Notifications to Subscriber of Certificate Issuance.....	24
4.4 Certificate Acceptance.....	24
4.4.1 Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance.....	24
4.4.2 Publication of the Certificate by the CA	24
4.4.3 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities.....	24
4.5 Key Pair and Certificate Usage.....	25
4.5.1 Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage.....	25
4.5.2 Relying Party Public Key and Certificate Usage	25
4.6 Certificate Renewal.....	25
4.6.1 Circumstances for Certificate Renewal	25
4.6.2 Who May Request Renewal	25

4.6.3 Processing Certificate Renewal Requests.....	25
4.6.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber.....	25
4.6.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Renewal Certificate.....	25
4.6.6 Publication of the Renewal Certificates by the CA.....	25
4.6.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities.....	26
4.7 Certificate Re-Key	26
4.7.1 Circumstances for Certificate Re-Key.....	26
4.7.2 Who May Request Certification of a New Public Key.....	26
4.7.3 Processing Certificate Re-Keying Requests.....	26
4.7.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber.....	26
4.7.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Re-Keyed Certificate	26
4.7.6 Publication of the Re-Keyed Certificate by the CA.....	26
4.7.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities.....	26
4.8 Certificate Modification	26
4.8.1 Circumstances for Certificate Modification.....	26
4.8.2 Who May Request Certificate Modification.....	27
4.8.3 Processing Certificate Modification Requests	27
4.8.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber.....	27
4.8.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of Modified Certificate.....	27
4.8.6 Publication of the Modified Certificates by the CA.....	27
4.8.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities.....	27
4.9 Certificate Revocation and Suspension	27
4.9.1 Circumstances for Certificate Revocation	27
4.9.2 Who Can Request Revocation.....	29
4.9.3 Procedure for Revocation Request.....	29
4.9.4 Revocation Request Grace Period.....	29
4.9.5 Time within Which CA Shall Process the Revocation Request.....	29
4.9.6 Revocation Checking Requirements for Relying Parties.....	30
4.9.7 CRL Issuance Frequency	30
4.9.8 Maximum Latency for CRLs.....	32
4.9.9 On-Line Revocation/Status Checking Availability	32
4.9.10 On-Line Revocation/Status Checking Requirements.....	32
4.9.11 Other Forms of Revocation Advertisements Available.....	33
4.9.12 Special Requirements Regarding Key Compromise	33
4.9.13 Circumstances for Suspension.....	34
4.9.14 Who Can Request Suspension	34

4.9.15 Procedure for Suspension Request	34
4.9.16 Limits on Suspension Period	34
4.10 Certificate Status Services	34
4.10.1 Operational Characteristics.....	34
4.10.2 Service Availability	35
4.10.3 Optional Features.....	35
4.11 End of Subscription (Registry)	35
4.12 Key Escrow and Recovery.....	35
4.12.1 Key Escrow and Recovery Policy and Practices	35
4.12.2 Session Key Encapsulation and Recovery Policy and Practices	35
5. Facility, Management, and Operational Controls	36
5.1 Physical Controls.....	36
5.1.1 Site Location and Construction	36
5.1.2 Physical Access	36
5.1.3 Power and Air Conditioning.....	36
5.1.4 Water Exposures.....	36
5.1.5 Fire Prevention and Protection	36
5.1.6 Media Storage	36
5.1.7 Waste Disposal.....	36
5.1.8 Off-Site Backup.....	36
5.2 Procedural Controls	36
5.2.1 Trusted Roles	36
5.2.2 Number of Persons Required per Task	36
5.2.3 Identification and Authentication for Each Role.....	37
5.2.4 Roles Requiring Separation of Duties.....	37
5.3 Personnel Controls	37
5.3.1 Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements	37
5.3.2 Background Check Procedures	37
5.3.3 Training Requirements	37
5.3.4 Retraining Frequency and Requirements	37
5.3.5 Job Rotation Frequency and Sequence	37
5.3.6 Sanctions for Unauthorized Actions.....	37
5.3.7 Independent Contractor Requirements	37
5.3.8 Documentation Supplied to Personnel.....	37
5.4 Audit Logging Procedures.....	37
5.4.1 Types of Events Recorded	37

5.4.2	Frequency of Processing Audit Log	38
5.4.3	Retention Period for Audit Log.....	38
5.4.4	Protection of Audit Log.....	38
5.4.5	Audit Log Backup Procedure	38
5.4.6	Audit Log Collection System.....	38
5.4.7	Notification to Event-Causing Subject.....	38
5.4.8	Vulnerability Assessments.....	38
5.5	Records Archival.....	38
5.5.1	Types of Records Archived	38
5.5.2	Retention Period for Archive.....	38
5.5.3	Protection of Archive	38
5.5.4	Archive Backup Procedures	38
5.5.5	Requirements for Time-Stamping of Records.....	39
5.5.6	Archive Collection System	39
5.5.7	Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information	39
5.6	Key Changeover	39
5.7	Compromise and Disaster Recovery	39
5.7.1	Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures	39
5.7.2	Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data are Corrupted.....	39
5.7.3	Entity Private Key Compromise Procedures.....	39
5.7.4	Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster	39
5.8	CA or RA Termination.....	39
6.	Technical Security Controls	41
6.1	Key Pair Generation and Installation	41
6.1.1	Key Pair Generation.....	41
6.1.2	Private Key Delivery to Subscriber.....	41
6.1.3	Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer	41
6.1.4	CA Public Key Delivery to Relying Parties.....	41
6.1.5	Key Sizes	41
6.1.6	Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking.....	41
6.1.7	Key Usage Purposes	41
6.2	Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls	42
6.2.1	Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls	42
6.2.2	Private Key Multi-Person Control.....	42
6.2.3	Private Key Escrow	42
6.2.4	Private Key Backup.....	42

6.2.5 Private Key Archival	42
6.2.6 Private Key Transfer into or from a Cryptographic.....	43
6.2.7 Private Key Storage on Cryptographic Module.....	43
6.2.8 Method of Activating Private Key	43
6.2.9 Method of Deactivating Private Key	43
6.2.10 Method of Destroying Private Key	43
6.2.11 Cryptographic Module Rating.....	43
6.3 Other Aspects of Key Pair Management	44
6.3.1 Public Key Archival	44
6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Pair Usage Periods	44
6.4 Activation Data.....	44
6.4.1 Activation Data Generation and Installation.....	44
6.4.2 Activation Data Protection.....	44
6.4.3 Other Aspects of Activation Data	44
6.5 Computer Security Controls.....	44
6.5.1 Specific Computer Security Technical Requirements	44
6.5.2 Computer Security Rating	44
6.6 Life-Cycle Technical Controls.....	44
6.6.1 System Development Controls.....	44
6.6.2 Security Management Controls.....	45
6.6.3 Life-Cycle Security Controls	45
6.7 Network Security Controls	45
6.8 Time-Stamping	45
7. Certificate, CRL, and OCSP Profiles.....	46
7.1 Certificate Profile	46
7.1.1 Version Number(s).....	50
7.1.2 Certificate Extension.....	50
7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifier.....	50
7.1.4 Name Format	50
7.1.5 Name Constraints.....	51
7.1.6 Certificate Policy Object Identifier.....	51
7.1.7 Use of Policy Constraint Extensions	51
7.1.8 Policy Qualifier Syntax and Semantics	51
7.1.9 How to interpret Critical Certificate Policy Extensions	51
7.2 CRL Profile	51
7.2.1 Version Number(s).....	52

7.2.2 CRL Entry Extensions	52
7.3 OCSP Profile.....	55
7.3.1 Version Number(s).....	55
7.3.2 OCSP Extensions.....	55
8. Compliance Audit and Other Assessments	56
8.1 Frequency and Circumstances of Assessment	56
8.2 Identity/Qualifications of Assessor	56
8.3 Assessor’s Relationship to Assessed Entity.....	56
8.4 Topics Covered by Assessment	56
8.5 Actions Taken as a Result of Deficiency	56
8.6 Communication of Results.....	56
8.7 Self-Audits	57
9. Other Business and Legal Matters.....	58
9.1 Fees	58
9.1.1 Fees for Issuing or Renewing Certificates.....	58
9.1.2 Certificate Access Fee.....	58
9.1.3 Expiration or Access Fee for Status Information	58
9.1.4 Fees for Other Services	58
9.1.5 Refund Policy	58
9.2 Financial Responsibility	58
9.2.1 Insurance Coverage.....	58
9.2.2 Other Assets.....	58
9.2.3 End entity Insurance or Warranty coverage	58
9.3 Confidentiality of Business Information	58
9.3.1 Scope of Confidential Information.....	58
9.3.2 Information Not Within the Scope of Confidential Information.....	59
9.3.3 Responsibility to Protect Confidential Information	59
9.4 Privacy of Personal Information	59
9.4.1 Personal Information Protection Plan	59
9.4.2 Information Treated as Personal Information.....	59
9.4.3 Information that is not considered Personal Information.....	59
9.4.4 Responsibility for protecting Personal Information.....	59
9.4.5 Notice and Consent regarding use of Personal Information	59
9.4.6 Information Disclosure with Judicial or Administrative Procedures	59
9.4.7 Other Information Disclosure Conditions	59
9.5 Intellectual Property Rights.....	59

9.6 Representations and Warranties	60
9.6.1 CA Representations and Warranties.....	60
9.6.2 RA Representations and Warranties.....	62
9.6.3 Subscriber Representations and Warranties.....	62
9.6.4 Relying Party Representations and Warranties	63
9.6.5 Representations and Warranties of Other Participants	64
9.7 Disclaimer of Warranties	64
9.8 Limitations of Liability	64
9.9 Indemnities.....	65
9.10 Term and Termination	65
9.10.1 Term.....	65
9.10.2 Termination.....	65
9.10.3 Effect of Termination and Survival.....	65
9.11 Individual Notices and Communications with Participants	65
9.12 Amendments	65
9.12.1 Procedure for Amendment	66
9.12.2 Notification Method and Timing	66
9.12.3 Circumstances under Which OID Must Be Changed	66
9.13 Dispute Resolution Procedures	66
9.14 Governing Law	66
9.15 Compliance with Applicable Law.....	66
9.16 Miscellaneous Provisions.....	66
9.16.1 Entire Agreement	66
9.16.2 Assignment.....	67
9.16.3 Severability	67
9.16.4 Enforcement.....	67
9.16.5 Irresistible Force.....	68
9.17 Other Provisions.....	68

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

SECOM Passport for Web SR Certification Authority Certificate Policy (hereinafter, "this CP") defines the policy on certificates issued by SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA (hereinafter, "the CA"), which are operated by SECOM Trust Systems Co., Ltd. (hereinafter, "SECOM Trust Systems"), by specifying the purpose of use, the scope of application and user procedures concerning the Certificates. Various procedures regarding the operation and maintenance of the CA are stipulated in the SECOM Digital Certification Infrastructure Certification Practice Statement (hereinafter, "CPS").

Certificates issued by the CA are used for server authentication and data encryption in the communication routing. The parties to whom Certificates may be issued (Certificate subjects) are set forth in the SECOM Passport for Web SR Service Terms (hereinafter, "Service Terms").

A party seeking to obtain Certificates from the CA must examine its usage purposes against this CP, the Service Terms and the CPS, and agree to all three prior to getting the Certificates issued.

The CA shall comply with the latest versions of the standards set forth by the CA/Browser Forum and Application Software Supplier Standards published at <https://www.cabforum.org/>.

Table 1.1-1 List of the Standards

Types of certificates issued by the CA	Standards to comply with
TLS Server Certificate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted TLS Server Certificates ● Apple Root Certificate Program ● Chrome Root Program Policy ● Microsoft Trusted Root Program ● Mozilla Root Store Policy

In the event of a conflict between this CP and the Service Terms or the CPS, the order of precedence in the application thereof shall be the Service Terms, this CP, and the

CPS. Any provisions set forth in a separate contract or the like between SECOM Trust Systems and an organization, a group or any other party, with which it has a contractual relationship that are inconsistent with the Service Terms, this CP, and the CPS, shall prevail. In the event of any inconsistency between this CP and the Baseline Requirements, the Baseline Requirements take precedence over this document.

This CP shall be revised as necessary in order to reflect any technical or operational developments or improvements pertaining to the CA.

This CP conforms to the RFC3647 "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework" advocated by the IETF as a CA practice framework.

1.2 Document Name and Identification

The official name of this CP is "SECOM Passport for Web SR Certification Authority Certificate Policy".

This CP is identified with the Object Identifier (hereinafter, "OID") given in "Table 1.2-1 OID (This CP)"

Table 1.2-1 OID (This CP)

CP	OID
SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA (sha256)	1.2.392.200091.100.751.1

The OID of the CPS associated with this CP is given in Table 1.2-2 OID (The CPS)

Table 1.2-2 OID (The CPS)

CPS	OID
SECOM Digital Certification Infrastructure Certification Practice Statement	1.2.392.200091.100.401.1

1.3 PKI Participants

1.3.1 CA

CA is an organization that is responsible for the creation, issuance, revocation, and management of Certificates. The term applies equally to both Root CAs and Subordinate CAs.

1.3.2 RA

Any Legal Entity that is responsible for identification and authentication of subjects of Certificates, but is not a CA, and hence does not sign or issue Certificates. An RA may assist in the certificate application process or revocation process or both. When RA is used as an adjective to describe a role or function, it does not necessarily imply a separate body, but can be part of the CA.

With the exception of this CP “3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication” and this CP “3.2.2.5 Authentication for an IP Address”, the CA MAY delegate the performance of all, or any part, of this CP “3.2 Initial Identity Validation” requirements to a Delegated Third Party, provided that the process as a whole fulfills all of the requirements of this CP “3.2 Initial Identity Validation”.

Before the CA authorizes a Delegated Third Party to perform a delegated function, the CA SHALL contractually require the Delegated Third Party to:

1. Meet the qualification requirements of the CPS “5.3.1 Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements”, when applicable to the delegated function;
2. Retain documentation in accordance with the CPS “5.5.2 Retention Period for Archive”;
3. Abide by the other provisions of the Baseline Requirements that are applicable to the delegated function; and
4. Comply with:
 - a. the CA’s Certificate Policy/Certification Practice Statement or
 - b. the Delegated Third Party’s practice statement that the CA has verified complies with the Baseline Requirements.

1.3.3 Subscribers

Subscribers shall be any natural person or Legal Entity that receive a Certificate issued by the CA and conforms to the Subscriber Agreement or Term of Use.

1.3.4 Relying Parties

Relying Party is any natural person or Legal Entity that relies on a Valid Certificate. An Application Software Supplier is not considered a Relying Party when software distributed by such Supplier merely displays information relating to a Certificate.

1.3.5 Other Parties

Other Parties include auditors, and companies or organizations that have service contracts with SECOM Trust Systems, and companies that perform system integration.

1.4 Certificate Usage

1.4.1 Appropriate Certificate Uses

Certificates issued by the CA are intended for establishing Web-based data communication conduits via the TLS protocols and for verifying the authenticity of executable code.

1.4.2 Prohibited Certificate Uses

Certificates issued by the CA may not be used for purposes other than server authentication and data encryption in the communication routing.

This CA doesn't permit certificate issuance unless it has confirmed from the domain name registrant verified in accordance with this CP "3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication" that he/she has the right to use the domain.

1.5 Policy Administration

1.5.1 Organization Administering the Document

This CP is maintained and administered by SECOM Trust Systems.

1.5.2 Contact Information

Inquiries concerning this CP should be directed to:

	CA Support Center, SECOM Trust Systems Co., Ltd.
Address:	10-5 Tomihisa-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-0067
E-mail address:	ra-support@secom.co.jp
Website:	https://www.secomtrust.net/

The certificate issuance / revocation requests and other certificate-related problem reports are accepted for 24x7. For the inquiries outside business hours (other than 09:00-18:00), may be responded after the next business day, except for those that require emergency response.

The Subscribers, Relying Parties, Application Software Suppliers, and other third parties can report suspected Private Key Compromise, Certificate misuse, or other types of fraud, compromise, misuse, inappropriate conduct, or any other matter related

to Certificates. This CA revokes certificates when it is determined that it needs to be revoked.

1.5.3 Person Determining CP Suitability for the Policy

The Certification Services Improvement Committee determines the suitability of the contents of this CP. This CP shall be reviewed and revised at least annually.

1.5.4 Approval Procedure

This CP is prepared and revised by SECOM Trust Systems and goes into effect upon approval by the Certification Services Improvement Committee.

1.6 Definitions and Acronyms

Application Software Supplier

A supplier of Internet browser software or other relying party application software that displays or uses a certificate and incorporates a root CA certificate.

Archive

Information obtained for the purpose of preserving history for legal or other reasons.

ADN (Authorization Domain Name)

Domain name used to obtain authentication for certificate issuance for a particular FQDN

Attestation Letter

A letter attesting that Subject Information is correct, which is written by an accountant, lawyer, government official, or other reliable third party customarily relied upon for such information.

Audit Log

Behavioral, access and other histories pertaining to the CA systems which are recorded for inspection of access to and unauthorized operation of the CA systems.

Baseline Requirements

A document issued by the CA/Browser Forum (available at cabforum.org.) that integrates a set of fundamental requirements for Certificate issuance/administration.

CA (Certification Authority)

An entity that mainly issues, renews and revokes Certificates, generates and protects CA Private Keys, and registers Subscribers.

CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)

A function to prevent false issuance of Certificates by an unintended CA, by including the CA information for the domain ownership/control rights to grant the Certificate issuance for the specific domain, in the DNS record.

CA/Browser Forum

An NPO organized by CAs and Internet browser vendors that works to define and standardize the Certificate issuance requirements.

CP (Certificate Policy)

A document that sets forth provisions pertaining to Certificates issued by a CA, including Certificate types, usage and application procedure.

CPS (Certification Practices Statement)

A document that sets forth provisions pertaining to the practices of CAs, including procedures for the CA operations and the security standards.

CRL (Certificate Revocation List)

A list of information on Certificates which were revoked prior to their expiration due to reasons such as changes to the information provided in the Certificates and loss of the relevant Private Key.

CSPRNG (Cryptographically Secure Pseudo Random Number Generator)

A random number generator intended for use in cryptographic system.

CT (Certificate Transparency)

Certificate Transparency, stipulated in RFC 6962, is an open framework for monitoring/auditing the records of the issued Certificates by registering and publishing them on the log servers.

Digital Certificate

Digital data certifying that a public key is owned by the party specified, validity of which is certified by the digital signature of the relevant CA affixed thereto. Digital Certificate is referred to as "Certificate" hereinafter.

Escrow

Escrow means the placement (entrustment) of an asset in the control of an independent third party.

FIPS140-2

The security certification standards developed by the U.S. NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) for cryptographic modules, defining four security levels, the lowest 1 through the highest 4.

HSM (Hardware Security Module)

A tamper-resistant cryptographic module used to ensure the security mainly in generation, storage and usage of private keys.

IA (Issuing Authority)

An entity which, of the duties of a CA, mainly handles the issuance, renewal and revocation of Certificates, generation and protection of CA Private Keys, and the maintenance and management of repositories.

Key Pair

A pair of keys comprising a private key and a public key in the public key cryptosystem.

OCSP (Online Certificate Status Protocol)

A protocol for real-time provision of information on Certificate status.

OID (Object Identifier)

A unique numeric identifier registered by the international registration authority, in a framework to maintain and administer the uniqueness of the mutual connectivity, services and other aspects of the networks.

PKI (Public Key Infrastructure)

An infrastructure for use of the encryption technology known as the public key cryptosystem to realize such security technologies as digital signature, encryption and certification.

Precertificate

A Precertificate is a signed data structure that can be submitted to a Certificate Transparency log, as defined by RFC 6962. A Precertificate is created after a CA has decided to issue a Certificate, but prior to the actual signing of the Certificate. The CA MAY construct and sign a Precertificate corresponding to the Certificate, for purposes of submitting to CT Logs. The CA MAY use the returned Signed Certificate Timestamps to then alter the Certificate's extensions field, adding a Signed Certificate Timestamp List, as defined in Section 7.1.2.11.3 of the Baseline Requirements and as permitted by the relevant profile, prior to signing the Certificate.

Private Key

A key of a Key Pair that is possessed by the holder of the corresponding public key.

Public Key

A key of a Key Pair used in the public key cryptosystem. A Public Key corresponds to the Private Key and is published to and shared with the recipient.

RA (Registration Authority)

An entity which, of the duties of a CA, mainly performs assessment of application submissions, registration of necessary information for issuance of the Certificates, and requests Certificate signing to CAs.

Relying Party

Any natural person or Legal Entity that relies on a Valid Certificate. An Application Software Supplier is not considered a Relying Party when software distributed by such Supplier merely displays information relating to a Certificate.

Repository

A (online) database for storing and providing access to CA certificates, CRLs and the like.

RFC3647 (Request for Comments 3647)

A document defining the framework for CP and CPS published by the IETF (The Internet Engineering Task Force), an industry group which establishes technical standards for the Internet.

RSA

One of the most standard encryption technologies widely used in the Public Key cryptosystem.

SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm 1)

A hash function used in digital signing. A hash function is a computation technique for generating a fixed-length string from a given text. The hash length is 160 bits.

The algorithm works to detect any alterations in the original message during the transmission by comparing the hash values transmitted and received.

SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 256)

A hash function used in digital signing. The hash length is 256 bits.

The algorithm works to detect any alterations in the original message during the transmission by comparing the hash values transmitted and received.

Short-lived Subscriber Certificate

For Certificates issued on or after 15 March 2024 and prior to 15 March 2026, a Subscriber Certificate with a Validity Period less than or equal to 10 days (864,000 seconds). For Certificates issued on or after 15 March 2026, a Subscriber Certificate with a Validity Period less than or equal to 7 days (604,800 seconds).

Time-Stamp

Data recording such date and time of creating an electronic file or running a system process.

WebTrust for CA

Standards of internal control and a certification framework based thereon maintained by CPA Canada regarding the reliability of CAs, the security of electronic commerce transactions, and other relevant matters.

WebTrust for CA - SSL Baseline with Network Security

Audit standards maintained by CPA Canada defining the rules for the reviews/authentications by the CAs for issuance of SSL Certificates and on the Certificates themselves.

WHOIS

Information obtained directly from a domain name registrar or registry operator via a protocol defined in RFC3912, a registry data access protocol defined in RFC7482, or an HTTPS website.

X.500

A series of computer network standards regarding the decentralized directory service.

2. Publication and Repository Responsibilities

2.1 Repository

The CA SHALL make revocation information for Subordinate Certificates and Subscriber Certificates available in accordance with this CP.

2.2 Publication of Certificate Information

The CA SHALL publicly disclose its CP and/or CPS through an appropriate and readily accessible online means that is available on a 24x7 basis. The CA SHALL publicly disclose its CA business practices to the extent required by the CA's selected audit scheme (see this CP "8.4 Topics Covered by Assessment").

The CP and/or CPS MUST be structured in accordance with RFC 3647 and MUST include all material required by RFC 3647.

The CA's CP "4.2 Certificate Application Processing" SHALL state the CA's policy or practice on processing CAA Records for FQDN; that policy shall be consistent with the Baseline Requirements. It shall clearly specify the set of Issuer Domain Names that the CA recognizes in CAA "issue" or "issuwild" records as permitting it to issue. The CA SHALL log all actions taken, if any, consistent with its processing practice.

The CA SHALL host test Web pages that allow Application Software Suppliers to test their software with Subscriber Certificates that chain up to each publicly trusted Root Certificate.

The CA SHALL host separate Web pages using Subscriber Certificates that are:

- i. valid,
- ii. revoked, and
- iii. expired.

2.3 Time or Frequency of Publication

The CA shall develop, implement, enforce, and annually update a CP and CPS that describes in detail how the CA implements the latest version of the Baseline Requirements. The CA shall indicate conformance with the Baseline Requirements by incrementing the version number and adding a dated changelog entry, even if no other changes are made to a CP and CPS.

2.4 Access Controls on Repository

This CA makes its Repository publicly available in a read-only manner. In this CA, only the authorized CA administrators can perform operations such as adding, deleting, modifying, and publishing Repositories.

3. Identification and Authentication

3.1 Naming

3.1.1 Types of Names

The certificate issued by this CA meets the requirements of the X.509 standard, RFC5280 standard and Baseline Requirements, and the distinguished name assigned to the certificate holder is set according to the X.500 distinguished name format. The following information shall be included in a Certificate issued by the CA:

1. [Country Name (C)] shall be JP.
2. "Organization Name" (O) shall be the name of the relevant organization in the form of a legal person, corporation, or other form of a legal person. For a sole proprietor, the name shall be that of the individual proprietor.
3. [Organizational Unit Name (OU)] shall be prohibited.
4. The "Common Name" (CN) is the main domain name and shall be the domain name existing in the Subject Alternative Name. All domain names are added to Subject Alternative Name.
5. If the dNSName entry value in the Subject Alternative Name extension area contains international characters other than ASCII strings, puny-code converted version of the string is used.

3.1.2 Need for Names to Be Meaningful

The Common Name used in a Certificate issued by the CA shall be meaningful when the hostname used in the web server DNS for which the relevant Subscriber plans to install the Certificate is assigned.

3.1.3 Anonymity or Pseudonymity of Subscribers

An anonymous or pseudonymous name may not be registered as the Organization Name or the Common Name in the Certificate issued by the CA.

3.1.4 Rules for Interpreting Various Name Forms

Rules concerning the interpretation of various name forms are governed by the X.500 Series DN rules.

3.1.5 Uniqueness of Names

In this CA, the issued certificate guarantees that the certificate owner can be uniquely

identified by the information contained in the Distinguished Name of the Subject. The serial number of the certificate shall be the serial number including random numbers generated by CSPRNG. Serial numbers assigned in this CA are unique.

3.1.6 Recognition, Authentication, and Roles of Trademarks

SECOM Trust Systems does not verify intellectual property rights for the names indicated in Certificate applications. Subscribers may not submit any registered trademark or other trademark-related names of a third party. SECOM Trust Systems will not arbitrate or engage itself in the resolution of any dispute between Subscribers and third parties over the registered trademark or any alike. SECOM Trust Systems reserves the right to reject a Subscriber Certificate Application or revoke an issued Certificate due to the dispute.

3.2 Initial Identity Validation

3.2.1 Method to Prove Possession of Private Key

A Subscriber proves possession of the relevant Private Key in accordance with the following method.

The signature on the relevant Certificate Signing Request (hereinafter, "CSR") is authenticated to prove that said CSR is signed with the Private Key corresponding to the Public Key.

3.2.2 Authentication of Organization Identity

If the Applicant requests a Certificate that will contain Subject Identity Information comprised only of the countryName field, then the CA SHALL verify the country associated with the Subject using a verification process meeting the requirements of this CP "3.2.2.3 Verification of Country" and that is described in the CA's CP and CPS. If the Applicant requests a Certificate that will contain the countryName field and other Subject Identity Information, then the CA SHALL verify the identity of the Applicant, and the authenticity of the Applicant Representative's certificate request using a verification process meeting the requirements of this CP "3.2.2.1 Identity" and that is described in the CA's CP and CPS. The CA SHALL inspect any document relied upon under this Section for alteration or falsification.

3.2.2.1 Identity

If the Subject Identity Information is to include the name or address of an organization,

the CA SHALL verify the identity and address of the organization and that the address is the Applicant's address of existence or operation. The CA SHALL verify the identity and address of the Applicant using documentation provided by, or through communication with, at least one of the following:

1. A government agency in the jurisdiction of the Applicant's legal creation, existence, or recognition;
2. A third party database that is periodically updated and considered a Reliable Data Source;
3. A site visit by the CA or a third party who is acting as an agent for the CA; or
4. An Attestation Letter.

The CA MAY use the same documentation or communication described in 1 through 4 above to verify both the Applicant's identity and address.

3.2.2.2 DBA/Tradename

If the Subject Identity Information is to include a DBA or tradename, the CA SHALL verify the Applicant's right to use the DBA/tradename using at least one of the following:

1. Documentation provided by, or communication with, a government agency in the jurisdiction of the Applicant's legal creation, existence, or recognition;
2. A Reliable Data Source;
3. Communication with a government agency responsible for the management of such DBAs or tradenames;
4. An Attestation Letter accompanied by documentary support; or
5. A utility bill, bank statement, credit card statement, government-issued tax document, or other form of identification that the CA determines to be reliable.

3.2.2.3 Verification of Country

If the countryName field is present in the subject Distinguished Name of the certificate, then the CA SHALL verify the country associated with the Subject using one of the following:

- information provided by the Domain Name Registrar; or
- a method identified in the CP, "Section 3.2.2.1 Identity".

3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication

Secom Trust Systems will authenticate the domain using the following Baseline Requirements-compliant method to verify that the certificate subscriber has the right

to use the domain name.

The random value described in this section shall consist of a random number of 112 bits or more generated by the CA, and shall be valid for the use of response confirmation for 30 days from the generation.

In this CA, when making a WHOIS inquiry, the IP address of the contacted WHOIS server is checked by "<Top Level Domain>.whois-servers.net" on the DNS server, and the inquiry is made to that WHOIS server first. WHOIS responses are not cached and are referenced with each inquiry.

WHOIS obtains the information from domain name registrars or registry operators via the HTTPS website or the protocol defined in RFC3912.

This CA doesn't issue certificates if "RFC 7686 - The ".onion" Special-Use Domain Name" is included in the certificates.

1. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by sending a random value by email, or postal mail to a domain contact registered with the WHOIS Registry Service and receiving an acknowledgment containing the random value. Random values are sent to an email address, or resident address that is recognized as a domain contact. The management of multiple authentication domain names can be checked by email, or postal mail.

This CA may send the emails or mails recognized in this section to multiple recipients, each recipient is recognized as a domain name registrant representing all FQDN domain name registrants authorized for email or postal use. Random values shall be unique to each email or postal mail.

The CA may resend the entire email or postal mail, including the reuse of random values, provided that the entire communication content and the recipient remain unchanged.

(Baseline Requirements Section 3.2.2.4.2 Email, Fax, SMS, or Postal Mail to Domain Contact).

2. The local part is 'admin',' administrator',' webmaster',' hostmaster', or 'postmaster' and the following "@" demonstrates control of the requested FQDN by sending a random value to the email address created as the authentication domain name and receiving an acknowledgment containing the random value. The authentication domain name under "@" used in the e-mail address should be the domain name included in the FQDN for which the certificate is issued, and if the authentication domain is the same, multiple FQDNs can be also checked by e-mail.

(Baseline Requirements Section 3.2.2.4.4 Constructed Email to Domain Contact)

3. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by verifying that there is a random value or application token in either the DNS CNAME, TXT or CAA record of either the FQDN for which the certificate is issued or the authentication domain name (includes each prefixed with a label that begins with an underscore character).

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.7 DNS Change)

4. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by sending a random value via email to the Email contact in the DNS CAA record of the authentication domain name and receiving an acknowledgment containing the random value. If the email contacts are the same, the multiple FQDNs can also be checked by email.

Relevant CAA resource records should be verified using the search algorithm defined in Section 3 of RFC 8659.

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.13 Email to DNS CAA Contact)

5. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by sending a random value via email to the Email contact in the DNS TXT record of the authentication domain name and receiving an acknowledgment containing the random value. If the email contacts are the same, the multiple FQDNs can also be checked by email.

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.14 Email to DNS TXT Contact)

6. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by calling the domain contact phone number and getting a response to permission to use the authenticated domain name. In addition, when the telephone number of the domain contact is the same in a plurality of authentication domain names, the authority can be proved for a plurality of FQDNs by presenting each authentication domain name and obtaining a response of permission to use.

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.15 Phone Contact with Domain Contact)

7. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by calling the phone number of the phone contact on the DNS TXT record and getting a response to authorize the use of the authentication domain name. In addition, when the telephone number of the domain contact is the same in a plurality of authentication domain names, the authority can be proved for a plurality of FQDNs by presenting each authentication domain name and obtaining a response of permission to use.

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.16 Phone Contact with DNS TXT Record
Phone Contact)

8. Prove the applicant's authority over the FQDN by calling the phone number of the phone contact in the DNSCAA record and getting a response to authorize the use of the authentication domain name. In addition, when the telephone number of the telephone contact is the same in a plurality of authentication domain names, the authority can be proved for the plurality of FQDNs by presenting each FQDN and obtaining a response of permission to use.

(Baseline Requirement Section 3.2.2.4.17 Phone Contact with DNS CAA Phone
Contact)

9. Confirm the applicant's control over the FQDN by verifying that the request token or random value is included in the contents of the file. This CA accesses via an approved port, and confirms that Random value is placed under the "http (or https): // [FQDN to be issued certificate] /.well-known/pki-validation" directory, and that it receives a normal HTTP or HTTPS response sent from the request.

For Certificates issued on or after 2021-12-01, the CA MUST NOT issue Certificates for other FQDNs that end with all the labels of the validated FQDN unless the CA performs a separate validation for that FQDN using an authorized method. This method is NOT used for validating Wildcard Domain Names.

(Baseline Requirements Section 3.2.2.4.18 Agreed-Upon Change to Website v2)

3.2.2.5 Authentication for an IP Address

This CA does not issue a certificate by authenticating the IP address.

3.2.2.6 Wildcard Domain Validation

This CA does not issue wildcard certificates.

3.2.2.7 Data Source Accuracy

Prior to using any data source as a Reliable Data Source, the CA evaluates the source for its reliability, accuracy, and resistance to alteration or falsification. The CA should consider the following during its evaluation:

1. The age of the information provided,
2. The frequency of updates to the information source,
3. The data provider and purpose of the data collection,

4. The public accessibility of the data availability, and
5. The relative difficulty in falsifying or altering the data.

3.2.2.8 CAA Records

As part of the issuance process, the CA must check for CAA records and follow the processing instructions found, for each `dnsName` in the `subjectAltName` extension of the certificate to be issued, as specified in RFC 8659. If the CA issues, they MUST do so within the TTL of the CAA record, or 8 hours, whichever is greater.

When processing CAA records, the CA MUST process the `issuewild`, and `iodef` property tags as specified in RFC 8659, although they are not required to act on the contents of the `iodef` property tag. Additional property tags may be supported, but must not conflict with or supersede the mandatory property tags set out in this document. The CA must respect the critical flag and not issue a certificate if they encounter an unrecognized property tag with this flag set.

The CA is permitted to treat a record lookup failure as permission to issue if:

- the failure is outside the CA's infrastructure; and
- the lookup has been retried at least once; and
- the domain's zone does not have a DNSSEC validation chain to the ICANN root.

This CA shall log any actions taken as part of its processing practices.

3.2.3 Authentication of Individual Identity

The CA does not issue Individual Validate certificates (IV certificates) that comply with the following certificate policy identifiers.

{`joint-iso-itu-t(2) international-organizations(23) ca-browser-forum(140) certificate-policies(1) baseline-requirements(2) individual-validated(3)`} (2.23.140.1.2.3)

3.2.4 Non-Verified Subscriber Information

The information about non-verified certificate subscribers will not be included in the certificates issued by the CA.

3.2.5 Validation of Authority

If the Applicant for a Certificate containing Subject Identity Information is an organization, the CA SHALL use a Reliable Method of Communication to verify the authenticity of the Applicant Representative's certificate request.

The CA MAY use the sources listed in this CP, "Section 3.2.2.1 Identity" to verify the Reliable Method of Communication. Provided that the CA uses a Reliable Method of

Communication, the CA MAY establish the authenticity of the certificate request directly with the Applicant Representative or with an authoritative source within the Applicant's organization, such as the Applicant's main business offices, corporate offices, human resource offices, information technology offices, or other department that the CA deems appropriate.

3.2.6 Criteria for Interoperation

The CA SHALL disclose all Cross-Certified Subordinate CA Certificates that identify the CA as the Subject, provided that the CA arranged for or accepted the establishment of the trust relationship (i.e. the Cross-Certified Subordinate CA Certificate at issue).

3.3 Identification and Authentication for Re-Key Requests

3.3.1 Identification and Authentication for Routine Re-Key

Subscribers shall be identified and authenticated for Re-Keying in the same manner as set forth in this CP "3.2 Initial Identity Validation" hereof.

3.3.2 Identification and Authentication for Re-Key after Revocation

A routine Re-Key after Revocation is not supported. The (Re-Keying) application for a Certificate shall be treated as a new submission, and the applicant Subscriber shall be identified and authenticated in the same manner as set forth in this CP "3.2 Initial Identity Validation" hereof.

3.4 Identification and Authentication for Revocation Requests

Accepting a Revocation Request via a website accessible only by the Subscriber, SECOM Trust Systems identifies and authenticates the applicant Subscriber.

4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements

4.1 Certificate Application

4.1.1 Who May Submit a Certificate Application

An application for a Certificate may be filed by a corporation using the Certificate, an Authorized Person, as specified in the Client Organization-Based Document Submission Criteria [SECOM Passport for Web SR] (hereinafter, "Client Organization-Based Document Submission Criteria"), of a non-Subscriber corporation, or an agent appointed by of a corporation or other form of a legal person.

In accordance with the CP, "Section 5.5.2, Retention Period for Archive", the CA SHALL maintain an internal database of all previously revoked Certificates and previously rejected certificate requests due to suspected phishing or other fraudulent usage or concerns. The CA SHALL use this information to identify subsequent suspicious certificate requests.

4.1.2 Enrollment Process and Responsibilities

Prior to the issuance of a Certificate, the CA SHALL obtain the following documentation from the Applicant:

1. A certificate request, which may be electronic; and
2. An executed Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use, which may be electronic.

The CA SHOULD obtain any additional documentation the CA determines necessary to meet the Baseline Requirements.

Prior to the issuance of a Certificate, the CA SHALL obtain from the Applicant a certificate request in a form prescribed by the CA and that complies with the Baseline Requirements. One certificate request MAY suffice for multiple Certificates to be issued to the same Applicant, subject to the aging and updating requirement in this CP "4.2.1 Performing Identification and Authentication Functions", provided that each Certificate is supported by a valid, current certificate request signed by the appropriate Applicant Representative on behalf of the Applicant. The certificate request MAY be made, submitted and/or signed electronically.

The certificate request MUST contain a request from, or on behalf of, the Applicant for the issuance of a Certificate, and a certification by, or on behalf of, the Applicant that all of the information contained therein is correct.

4.2 Certificate Application Processing

4.2.1 Performing Identification and Authentication Functions

Once accepted, the Certificate Application is authenticated by SECOM Trust Systems in accordance with "3.2 Initial Identification and Authentication" hereof.

The certificate request may include all factual information about the Applicant to be included in the Certificate, and such additional information as is necessary for the CA to obtain from the Applicant in order to comply with these Requirements and the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement. In cases where the certificate request does not contain all the necessary information about the Applicant, the CA shall obtain the remaining information from the Applicant or, having obtained it from a reliable, independent, third-party data source, confirm it with the Applicant. The CA shall establish and follow a documented procedure for verifying all data requested for inclusion in the Certificate by the Applicant.

Applicant information include, but not be limited to, at least one Fully-Qualified Domain Name to be included in the Certificate's Subject Alternative Name extension. In this CP "6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Pair Usage Periods", the expiration date of the subscriber certificate is limited.

The CA may use the documents and data provided in this CP "3.2 Initial Identity Validation" to verify certificate information, or may reuse previous validations themselves, provided that:

The CA obtained the data or document from a source specified under this CP "3.2 Initial Identity Validation" or completed the validation itself no more than 825 days prior to issuing the Certificate.

For validation of Domain Names according to this CP "3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication", any data, document, or completed validation used MUST be obtained no more than 398 days prior to issuing the Certificate.

In no case may a prior validation be reused if any data or document used in the prior validation was obtained more than the maximum time permitted for reuse of the data or document prior to issuing the Certificate.

After the change to any validation method specified in the Baseline Requirements or EV Guidelines, a CA may continue to reuse validation data or documents collected prior to the change, or the validation itself, for the period stated in the Baseline Requirements Section 4.2.1 unless otherwise specifically provided in a ballot.

The CA checks the CAA record at the time of reviewing the application information. The Certificate Subscribers who want to grant the authority to issue certificates to

the FQDN must include the value of "secomtrust.net" in the property "issue" or "issuewild" of the CAA record for each DNS zone.

If there is already a CAA entry in each DNS zone of the Certificate Subscriber and a certificate is required to be issued by this CA, the value of "secomtrust.net" must be included in the property "issue" or "issuewild" of the CAA record.

The CA shall develop, maintain, and implement documented procedures that identify and require additional verification activity for High Risk Certificate Requests prior to the Certificate's approval, as reasonably necessary to ensure that such requests are properly verified under Baseline Requirements.

If a Delegated Third Party fulfills any of the CA's obligations under this section, the CA shall verify that the process used by the Delegated Third Party to identify and further verify High Risk Certificate Requests provides at least the same level of assurance as the CA's own processes.

4.2.2 Approval or Rejection of Certificate Applications

This CA shall not issue Certificates containing Internal Names or Reserved IP Addresses

SECOM Trust Systems issues a Certificate corresponding to any application that it approves following the review and authentication, subsequently notifying the relevant Subscriber of the completion thereof and the issuance of the Certificate. Should a Certificate Application be inadequate or deficient, SECOM Trust Systems shall notify the relevant Subscriber of the reason therefor and ask for re-submission of the documents and any other information required.

4.2.3 Time to Process Certificate Applications

SECOM Trust Systems promptly issues a Certificate corresponding to any approved Certificate Application.

4.3 Certificate Issuance

4.3.1 CA Actions during Certificate Issuance

Upon completion of the review and authentication of a Certificate Application, SECOM Trust Systems issues the corresponding Certificate and makes it available for download via a website accessible only by the Subscriber or send the Certificate to the Subscriber.

Certificate issuance by the Root CA SHALL require an individual authorized by the CA (i.e. the CA system operator, system officer, or PKI administrator) to deliberately issue a direct command in order for the Root CA to perform a certificate signing operation.

This CA confirms whether the format conforms to Baseline Requirements for some items of the certificate to be issued by the pre-certificate linting function, and refuses to issue if it does not meet the requirements.

This CA enforces multi-factor authentication for all accounts capable of directly causing certificate issuance.

The backdating of a certificate's notBefore date to avoid a deadline, prohibition or code-enforced restriction is not used by this CA.

4.3.2 Notifications to Subscriber of Certificate Issuance

SECOM Trust Systems notifies the relevant Subscriber of the fact that the Subscriber Certificate is ready for download via a website accessible only by the Subscriber via e-mail. Download of the Certificate is made available to the Subscriber upon receiving the e-mail notice from the CA. The notification shall be also deemed complete when the Certificate is sent to the Subscriber.

4.4 Certificate Acceptance

4.4.1 Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance

Certificate acceptance shall be deemed complete when the Subscriber Certificate has been downloaded from the website accessible only by the subscriber. When the Certificate is sent to the Subscriber, the acceptance thereof shall be deemed complete if no such claim by the Subscriber as wrong descriptions on the Certificate is made within a week from the delivery.

4.4.2 Publication of the Certificate by the CA

The CA certificate of this CA will be published in the repository. This CA can publish the certificate of the certificate subscriber by registering it in the CT (Certificate Transparency) log.

4.4.3 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

SECOM Trust Systems will not send a notice of Certificate issuance to entities other than the person in charge, who was registered at the time of the Certificate Application

submission.

4.5 Key Pair and Certificate Usage

4.5.1 Subscriber Private Key and Certificate Usage

Certificates shall be used in accordance with this CP, Service Terms and CPS.

Subscriber shall protect the Private Key from unauthorized use or disclosure by third parties and shall use the Private Key only for its intended purpose in accordance with “9.6.3 Subscriber Representations and Warranties”.

4.5.2 Relying Party Public Key and Certificate Usage

Relying Parties shall acknowledge and agree to the provisions of this CP and the CPS before using the CA Certificates.

Relying Parties may use the CA Certificates for assessment of Subscriber Certificates.

4.6 Certificate Renewal

The CA recommends generating a new Key Pair when Subscribers renew a Certificate.

4.6.1 Circumstances for Certificate Renewal

No stipulation

4.6.2 Who May Request Renewal

No stipulation

4.6.3 Processing Certificate Renewal Requests

No stipulation

4.6.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

No stipulation

4.6.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Renewal Certificate

No stipulation

4.6.6 Publication of the Renewal Certificates by the CA

No stipulation

4.6.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

No stipulation

4.7 Certificate Re-Key

4.7.1 Circumstances for Certificate Re-Key

A Certificate is Re-Keyed when the validity period of the Certificate is about to expire or when the Certificate is revoked due to the key compromise.

4.7.2 Who May Request Certification of a New Public Key

The provisions of this CP "4.1.1 Who Can Submit a Certificate Application" hereof shall apply.

4.7.3 Processing Certificate Re-Keying Requests

The provisions of this CP "4.3.1 CA Actions during Certificate Issuance" hereof shall apply.

4.7.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

The provisions of this CP "4.3.2 Notifications to Subscriber of Certificate Issuance" hereof shall apply.

4.7.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of a Re-Keyed Certificate

The provisions of this CP "4.4.1 Conduct Constituting Certificate Acceptance" hereof shall apply.

4.7.6 Publication of the Re-Keyed Certificate by the CA

The provisions of this CP "4.4.2 Publication of the Certificate by the CA" hereof shall apply.

4.7.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

The provisions of this CP "4.4.3 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities" hereof shall apply.

4.8 Certificate Modification

Should modification be required in any information registered in a Certificate, the CA shall revoke the relevant Certificate and issue a new Certificate.

4.8.1 Circumstances for Certificate Modification

No stipulation

4.8.2 Who May Request Certificate Modification

No stipulation

4.8.3 Processing Certificate Modification Requests

No stipulation

4.8.4 Notification of New Certificate Issuance to Subscriber

No stipulation

4.8.5 Conduct Constituting Acceptance of Modified Certificate

No stipulation

4.8.6 Publication of the Modified Certificates by the CA

No stipulation

4.8.7 Notification of Certificate Issuance by the CA to Other Entities

No stipulation

4.9 Certificate Revocation and Suspension

4.9.1 Circumstances for Certificate Revocation

The CA MAY support revocation of Short-lived Subscriber Certificates.

With the exception of Short-lived Subscriber Certificates, the CA shall revoke a Certificate within 24 hours and use the corresponding CRLReason (revocation reason) in this CP “7.2.2 Certificate Revocation Lists and CRL Entry Extensions” if one or more of the following occurs:

1. The Subscriber requests in writing, without specifying CRLReason, that the CA revoke the Certificate (CRLReason “unspecified (0)” which results in no reasonCode extension being provided in the CRL);
2. The Subscriber notifies the CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization (CRL Reason #9, privilegeWithdrawn);
3. The CA obtains evidence that the Subscriber’s Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise (CRL Reason #1,

- key Compromise);
4. The CA is made aware of a demonstrated or proven method that can easily compute the Subscriber's Private Key based on the Public Key in the Certificate, including but not limited to those identified in (the Baseline Requirements Section 6.1.1.3(5), CPS 6.1.1 "Key Pair Generation") (CRL Reason #1, key Compromise);
 5. The CA obtains evidence that the validation of domain authorization or control for any Fully-Qualified Domain Name or IP address in the Certificate should not be relied upon (CRLReason #4, superseded);

With the exception of Short-lived Subscriber Certificates, the CA should revoke a certificate within 24 hours, must revoke a Certificate within 5 days and use the corresponding CRLReason if one or more of the following occurs:

6. The Certificate no longer complies with the requirements of Section "6.1.5 Key Sizes" and Section "6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking" of this CP (CRLReason #4, superseded) ;
7. The CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused (CRLReason #9, privilegeWithdrawn);
8. The CA is made aware that a Subscriber has violated one or more of its material obligations under the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use (CRL Reason #9, privilegeWithdrawn);
9. The CA is made aware of any circumstance indicating that use of a Fully-Qualified Domain Name or IP address in the Certificate is no longer legally permitted (e.g. a court or arbitrator has revoked a Domain Name Registrant's right to use the Domain Name, a relevant licensing or services agreement between the Domain Name Registrant and the Applicant has terminated, or the Domain Name Registrant has failed to renew the Domain Name) (CRLReason #5, cessationOfOperation);
10. The CA is made aware of a material change in the information contained in the Certificate (CRLReason #9, privilegeWithdrawn);
11. The CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with the Baseline Requirements or the CA's CP or CPS (CRLReason #4, superseded);
12. The CA determines or is made aware that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate (CRLReason #9, privilegeWithdrawn);

13. The CA's right to issue Certificates under the Baseline Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the CA has made arrangements to continue maintaining the CRL/OCSP Repository (CRLReason "unspecified (0)" which results in no reasonCode extension being provided in the CRL);
14. Revocation is required by the CA's CP and CPS for a reason that is not otherwise required to be specified by this section 4.9.1.1 (CRLReason "unspecified (0)" which results in no reasonCode extension being provided in the CRL);
15. The CA is made aware of a demonstrated or proven method that exposes the Subscriber's Private Key to compromise or if there is clear evidence that the specific method used to generate the Private Key was flawed (CRL Reason #1, key Compromise).

4.9.2 Who Can Request Revocation

A request for revocation of a Certificate may be made by the Certificate user corporation, an Authorized Person, as specified in the Client Organization-Based Document Submission Criteria, of a non-user corporation, or an agent appointed by representatives of a corporation or other form of a legal person.

The Subscriber, RA, or Issuing CA can initiate revocation. Additionally, Subscribers, Relying Parties, Application Software Suppliers, and other third parties may submit Certificate Problem Reports informing the issuing CA of reasonable cause to revoke the certificate.

4.9.3 Procedure for Revocation Request

A Subscriber shall submit a Revocation Request by selecting the relevant Certificate information on the website accessible only by the Subscriber. Alternatively, fill in the revocation information on a document designated by Secom Trust Systems and submit it.

4.9.4 Revocation Request Grace Period

Should a Subscriber determine that a Private Key has or could have been compromised, the Subscriber must promptly make a revocation request.

4.9.5 Time within Which CA Shall Process the Revocation Request

Within 24 hours after receiving a Certificate Problem Report, the CA SHALL investigate the facts and circumstances related to a Certificate Problem Report and

provide a preliminary report on its findings to both the Subscriber and the entity who filed the Certificate Problem Report.

After reviewing the facts and circumstances, the CA SHALL work with the Subscriber and any entity reporting the Certificate Problem Report or other revocation-related notice to establish whether or not the certificate will be revoked, and if so, a date which the CA will revoke the certificate. The period from receipt of the Certificate Problem Report or revocation-related notice to published revocation MUST NOT exceed the time frame set forth in the CP, "Section 4.9.1. Circumstances for Certificate Revocation". The date selected by the CA SHOULD consider the following criteria:

1. The nature of the alleged problem (scope, context, severity, magnitude, risk of harm);
2. The consequences of revocation (direct and collateral impacts to Subscribers and Relying Parties);
3. The number of Certificate Problem Reports received about a particular Certificate or Subscriber;
4. The entity making the complaint

If the CA receives an application for revocation with a specified date, it shall revoke on the specified date.

4.9.6 Revocation Checking Requirements for Relying Parties

The URLs of the CRL storage destination and the OCSP responder are indicated on the Certificates issued by the CA.

CRLs and the OCSP responder may be accessed using a commonly available Web Interface. CRLs do not contain expired Certificate information.

Relying Parties must authenticate the validity of a Subscriber's Certificate. The validity of a Certificate may be verified by using the CRL posted on the Repository site or the OCSP responder.

4.9.7 CRL Issuance Frequency

CRLs must be available via a publicly-accessible HTTP URL (i.e., "published").

Within twenty-four (24) hours of issuing its first Certificate, the CA MUST generate and publish either: - a full and complete CRL; OR - partitioned (i.e., "sharded") CRLs that, when aggregated, represent the equivalent of a full and complete CRL.

If the CA publishes a CRL, then the CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least once every seven days, and the value of the nextUpdate field MUST NOT be more than ten days beyond the value of the thisUpdate field.

4.9.8 Maximum Latency for CRLs

The CRLs issued by the CA are reflected onto the Repository within a reasonable time.

4.9.9 On-Line Revocation/Status Checking Availability

OCSP responses MUST conform to RFC6960 and/or RFC5019. OCSP responses MUST either:

1. Be signed by the CA that issued the Certificates whose revocation status is being checked, or
2. Be signed by an OCSP Responder whose Certificate is signed by the CA that issued the Certificate whose revocation status is being checked.

In the latter case, the OCSP signing Certificate MUST contain an extension of type id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck, as defined by RFC6960.

4.9.10 On-Line Revocation/Status Checking Requirements

Relying Parties must authenticate the validity of Subscriber Certificates. When not using the CRL posted on the Repository to check for the Revocation registration of a Certificate, the Relying Parties must confirm the Certificate status available through the OCSP responder.

OCSP responders operated by the CA shall support the HTTP GET method, as described in RFC 6960 and/or RFC 5019.

The validity interval of an OCSP response is the difference in time between the thisUpdate and nextUpdate field, inclusive. For purposes of computing differences, a difference of 3,600 seconds shall be equal to one hour, and a difference of 86,400 seconds shall be equal to one day, ignoring leap-seconds.

For the status of Subscriber Certificates:

1. OCSP responses MUST have a validity interval greater than or equal to eight hours;
2. OCSP responses MUST have a validity interval less than or equal to ten days;
3. For OCSP responses with validity intervals less than sixteen hours, then the CA shall update the information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol prior to one-half of the validity period before the nextUpdate.
4. For OCSP responses with validity intervals greater than or equal to sixteen hours, then the CA shall update the information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol at least eight hours prior to the nextUpdate, and no later than four days after the thisUpdate.

For the status of Subordinate CA Certificates:

The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol

- i. at least every twelve months; and
- ii. within 24 hours after revoking a Subordinate CA Certificate.

If the OCSP responder receives a request for the status of a certificate serial number that is "unused", then the responder SHOULD NOT respond with a "good" status. If the OCSP responder is for a CA that is not Technically Constrained in line with this CP "7.1.5 Name Constraints", the responder MUST NOT respond with a "good" status for such requests.

The CA SHOULD monitor the OCSP responder for requests for "unused" serial numbers as part of its security response procedures.

The OCSP responder MAY provide definitive responses about "reserved" certificate serial numbers, as if there was a corresponding Certificate that matches the Precertificate [RFC6962].

A certificate serial number within an OCSP request is one of the following three options:

1. "assigned" if a Certificate with that serial number has been issued by the Issuing CA, using any current or previous key associated with that CA subject; or
2. "reserved" if a Precertificate [RFC6962] with that serial number has been issued by
 - a. the Issuing CA; or
 - b. a Precertificate Signing Certificate [RFC6962] associated with the Issuing CA; or
3. "unused" if neither of the previous conditions are met.

4.9.11 Other Forms of Revocation Advertisements Available

The CA can distribute OCSP responses using stapling in accordance with RFC4366 RFC 5246, RFC 8446. In this case, the CA ensures that the subscriber includes the OCSP response of the certificate in the TLS process. The CA will comply with this requirement for the subscriber after the Service Terms or the contract with the subscriber, or after the technical confirmation by the CA and the approval of the service manager.

4.9.12 Special Requirements Regarding Key Compromise

The Relying Party shall demonstrate key compromise in the following methods:

- Submitting the private key itself, or the data containing the private key and how

- to extract the private key from the data
- Submitting the CSR that includes data such as distinguished names that are recognized as compromised and that can verify the signature
- Submitting the challenge response specified by the CA that can be verified by public key, and the private key signature for public key
- Providing the vulnerabilities that can be verified for compromise and the sources of referenced security incidents

The CA will notify the Certificate Subscriber that the private key may have been compromised if they learn that the private key of the Certificate Subscriber may have been compromised.

If the CA determines that the private key has been compromised or is likely to be compromised, the CP "4.9.1 Circumstances for Certificate Revocation" shall be dealt with.

4.9.13 Circumstances for Suspension

The CA will not suspend Certificates.

4.9.14 Who Can Request Suspension

Not applicable.

4.9.15 Procedure for Suspension Request

Not applicable.

4.9.16 Limits on Suspension Period

Not applicable.

4.10 Certificate Status Services

4.10.1 Operational Characteristics

Certificate status is available to Subscribers and Relying Party for confirmation through the OCSP responder.

The CA MUST NOT remove revocation entries in CRL or OCSP responses until after the Expiry Date of the revoked Certificate.

4.10.2 Service Availability

The CA SHALL operate and maintain its CRL and OCSP capability with resources sufficient to provide a response time of ten seconds or less under normal operating conditions.

The CA SHALL maintain an online 24x7 Repository that application software can use to automatically check the current status of all unexpired Certificates issued by the CA.

The CA SHALL maintain a continuous 24x7 ability to respond internally to a high-priority Certificate Problem Report, and where appropriate, forward such a complaint to law enforcement authorities, and/or revoke a Certificate that is the subject of such a complaint.

4.10.3 Optional Features

No stipulation

4.11 End of Subscription (Registry)

Subscribers may end the certificate issuing service provided by the CA (hereinafter "The Service") by submitting a Certificate Revocation Request or naturally letting it expire.

4.12 Key Escrow and Recovery

4.12.1 Key Escrow and Recovery Policy and Practices

The CA does not Escrow Subscriber Private Keys.

4.12.2 Session Key Encapsulation and Recovery Policy and Practices

Not applicable.

5. Facility, Management, and Operational Controls

5.1 Physical Controls

5.1.1 Site Location and Construction

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.2 Physical Access

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.3 Power and Air Conditioning

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.4 Water Exposures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.5 Fire Prevention and Protection

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.6 Media Storage

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.7 Waste Disposal

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.1.8 Off-Site Backup

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.2 Procedural Controls

5.2.1 Trusted Roles

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.2.2 Number of Persons Required per Task

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.2.3 Identification and Authentication for Each Role

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.2.4 Roles Requiring Separation of Duties

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3 Personnel Controls

5.3.1 Qualifications, Experience, and Clearance Requirements

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.2 Background Check Procedures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.3 Training Requirements

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.4 Retraining Frequency and Requirements

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.5 Job Rotation Frequency and Sequence

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.6 Sanctions for Unauthorized Actions

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.7 Independent Contractor Requirements

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.3.8 Documentation Supplied to Personnel

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4 Audit Logging Procedures

5.4.1 Types of Events Recorded

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.2 Frequency of Processing Audit Log

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.3 Retention Period for Audit Log

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.4 Protection of Audit Log

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.5 Audit Log Backup Procedure

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.6 Audit Log Collection System

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.7 Notification to Event-Causing Subject

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.4.8 Vulnerability Assessments

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5 Records Archival

5.5.1 Types of Records Archived

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.2 Retention Period for Archive

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.3 Protection of Archive

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.4 Archive Backup Procedures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.5 Requirements for Time-Stamping of Records

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.6 Archive Collection System

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.5.7 Procedures to Obtain and Verify Archive Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.6 Key Changeover

Renewal of Key-Pairs or Certificates of the CA, as a general rule, shall be made before their remaining validity periods become shorter than the maximum validity periods of the Certificates issued to Subscribers.

When the remaining validity period of the CA becomes shorter than the maximum validity periods of the Certificates issued to Subscribers, the validity periods of the Certificates issued thereto shall be so changed to be within the validity period of the CA.

5.7 Compromise and Disaster Recovery

5.7.1 Incident and Compromise Handling Procedures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.7.2 Computing Resources, Software, and/or Data are Corrupted

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.7.3 Entity Private Key Compromise Procedures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.7.4 Business Continuity Capabilities after a Disaster

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

5.8 CA or RA Termination

In the event of termination of the CA by SECOM Trust Systems, the company shall so notify Subscribers and other affected participants, including Application Software Suppliers, three (3) months prior to the termination. All Certificates issued by the CA

are revoked prior to the termination thereof.

6. Technical Security Controls

6.1 Key Pair Generation and Installation

6.1.1 Key Pair Generation

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.1.2 Private Key Delivery to Subscriber

The CA does not deliver Private Keys to Subscribers.

6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to Certificate Issuer

A Subscriber Public Key may be delivered online to the CA, the communication routing of which is encrypted by TLS.

6.1.4 CA Public Key Delivery to Relying Parties

Relying Parties may obtain CA Public Keys by accessing the CA Repository.

6.1.5 Key Sizes

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.1.7 Key Usage Purposes

Usage Purposes of the CA and the Certificates issued by the CA shall be as follows:

Table 6.1-1 Key Usage Purposes

	The CA	The Certificates issued by the CA
digital Signature	-	yes
nonRepudiation	-	-
keyEncipherment	-	yes (Optional if the public key of the end entity certificate is RSA, prohibited if it is ECDSA)

dataEncipherment	-	-
keyAgreement	-	-
keyCertSign	yes	-
cRLSign	yes	-
encipherOnly	-	-
decipherOnly	-	-

6.2 Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls

6.2.1 Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls

The generation, storage and signing operations of the CA Private Keys are performed using an FIPS140-2 Level 3 conformant cryptographic module.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.2 Private Key Multi-Person Control

Activation, deactivation, backup and other operations relating to CA Private Keys are jointly performed by at least two authorized individuals in a secure environment.

Activation, deactivation, backup and other operations relating to Subscriber Private Keys must be performed securely under the control of the relevant Subscribers.

6.2.3 Private Key Escrow

The CA does not Escrow CA Private Keys.

The CA does not Escrow Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.4 Private Key Backup

Backup of Private Keys of the CA is jointly performed by at least two authorized individuals and is stored in a secure room as encrypted.

The backup of Subscriber Private Keys must be securely stored under the control of the relevant Subscribers.

6.2.5 Private Key Archival

The CA does not archive CA Private Keys.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.6 Private Key Transfer into or from a Cryptographic

The transfer of Private Keys of the CA into and from a cryptographic module is performed in a secure room while encrypted.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.7 Private Key Storage on Cryptographic Module

Private Keys of the CA operated on the Digital Certification Infrastructure are stored within the cryptographic module.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.8 Method of Activating Private Key

The CA Private Key is jointly activated by at least two authorized individuals in a secure room.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.9 Method of Deactivating Private Key

The CA Private Key is jointly deactivated by at least two authorized individuals in a secure room.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.10 Method of Destroying Private Key

Private Keys of the CA are jointly destroyed by at least two authorized individuals by means of complete initialization or physical destruction. The Private Key backups are also destroyed in the same manner.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.2.11 Cryptographic Module Rating

The quality standards to be applied to the cryptographic modules used by the CA are as specified in "6.2.1 Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls" hereof.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.3 Other Aspects of Key Pair Management

6.3.1 Public Key Archival

The provisions for CA Public Keys are stipulated in "6.2.1 Cryptographic Module Standards and Controls" of the CPS.

This CP does not provide for the cryptographic module standards and controls of Subscriber Private Keys.

6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Pair Usage Periods

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.4 Activation Data

6.4.1 Activation Data Generation and Installation

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.4.2 Activation Data Protection

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.4.3 Other Aspects of Activation Data

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.5 Computer Security Controls

6.5.1 Specific Computer Security Technical Requirements

This CA enforce multi-factor authentication for all accounts capable of directly causing certificate issuance.

6.5.2 Computer Security Rating

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.6 Life-Cycle Technical Controls

6.6.1 System Development Controls

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.6.2 Security Management Controls

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.6.3 Life-Cycle Security Controls

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.7 Network Security Controls

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

6.8 Time-Stamping

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

7. Certificate, CRL, and OCSP Profiles

7.1 Certificate Profile

The CA SHALL meet the technical requirements set forth in the CP, “Section 2.2 – Publication of Information”, “Section 6.1.5– Key Sizes”, and “Section 6.1.6 – Public Key Parameters Generation and Quality Checking”.

CAs SHALL generate non-sequential Certificate serial numbers greater than zero (0) and less than 2^{159} containing at least 64 bits of output from a CSPRNG.

Certificates issued by the CA conform to RFC5280, the profile of which are indicated in the tables below.

As defined in Section 7.1.2.9 of the Baseline Requirements, for Version, Serial Number, Signature, Issuer, Validity, Subject, SubjectPublicKeyInfo, and SignatureAlgorithm of the Precertificate of the TLS server certificate, the encoded values must be byte-for-byte identical to the TLS server certificate. The order, criticality, and encoded values of Extension fields other than "Extension for Certificate Transparency" must be byte-for-byte identical to the extensions field of the certificate. The Precertificate MUST contain the Precertificate Poison extension (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.11129.2.4.3). This extension MUST have an extnValue OCTET STRING which is exactly the hex - encoded bytes 0500, the encoded representation of the ASN.1 NULL value, as specified in RFC 6962, Section 3.1.

Table 7.1-1 SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA Server Certificate Profile

Basic Fields		Settings	Critical
Version		Version 3	-
Serial Number		Non-sequential values greater than zero (0) and less than 2^{159} containing 64 bits of output from a CSPRNG	-
Signature Algorithm		sha256WithRSAEncryption	-
Issuer	Country	C=JP	-
	Organization	O=SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD.	-
	Common Name	CN= SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA	-
Validity	NotBefore	A value within 48 hours before the certificate signing	-
	NotAfter	Specified in the CPS “6.3.2	-

		Certificate Operational Periods and Key Pair Usage Periods”.	
Subject	Country	C=JP (Fixed value)	-
	State Or Province	Required	-
	Locality	Required	-
	Organization	Required	-
	Organizational Unit	Prohibited	-
	Common Name	Required Only one entry must be included, which is one of the values included in the Subject Alternative Name extension of the certificate. The value must be encoded as a character-for-character copy of the dNSName entry value from the Subject Alternative Name extension. Specifically, the FQDN part of all domain labels in a fully qualified domain name must be encoded as LDH labels, and P labels must not be converted to Unicode representation. Must not contain a reserved IP address or internal name.	-
Subject Public Key Info		Subject Public Key RSA 2048 bits	-
Extension Fields		Settings	Critical
keyUsage		digitalSignature, keyEncipherment	Y
extendedKeyUsage		id-kp-serverAuth, id-kp-clientAuth * id-kp-clientAuth is optional	N
Subject Altanative Name		Required Includes at least one dNSName. Includes fully qualified domain names verified according to this CP "3.2.2.4 Domain Authentication". The entry cannot include an internal name. The FQDN portion of the fully qualified domain name contained in	N

	<p>the entry must be composed entirely of LDH Labels joined together by a U+002E FULL STOP (".") character. The zero-length Domain Label representing the root zone of the Internet Domain Name System must not be included.</p> <p>Effective 2021-10-01, the Fully-Qualified Domain Name must consist solely of Domain Labels that are PLabels or Non-Reserved LDH Labels.</p>	
CertificatePolicies	<p>[1]policyIdentifier OID=1.2.392.200091.100.751.1</p> <p>policyQualifiers policyQualifierId=CPS qualifier= This CA's repository HTTP(S) URL[2]policyIdentifier=2.23.140.1.2. 2</p>	N
CRL Distribution Points	<p>HTTP URL of the CRL service of thisCA</p>	N
Authority Information Access	<p>accessMethod ocsp (1.3.6.1.5.5.7.48.1) accessLocation HTTP URL of OCSP responder CA Issuers (1.3.6.1.5.5.7.48.2) accessLocation HTTP URL of this CA certificate * Set CA Issuers as needed</p>	N
Authority Key Identifier	<p>SHA-1 hash value of authority Public Key (160 bits)</p>	N
Subject Key Identifier	<p>Optional SHA-1 hash value of the subject Public Key (160 bits)</p>	N

Certificate Transparency Extension (1.3.6.1.4.1.11129.2.4.2)	Optional SignedCertificateTimestampList value	N
---	---	---

Table 7.1-2 SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA Server Certificate Profile

Basic Fields		Settings	Critical
Version		Version 3	-
Serial Number		Non-sequential values greater than zero (0) and less than 2 ¹⁵⁹ containing 64 bits of output from a CSPRNG	-
Signature Algorithm		sha256WithRSAEncryption	-
Issuer	Country	C=JP	-
	Organization	O=SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD.	-
	Common Name	CN= SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA	-
Validity	NotBefore	A value within 1 day before the certificate signing	-
	NotAfter	Specified in the CPS “6.3.2 Certificate Operational Periods and Key Pair Usage Periods”	-
Subject	Country	C=JP (Fixed value)	-
	Organization	SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD. (Fixed value)	-
	Common Name	OCSP Responder name (Required)	-
Subject Public Key Info		Subject Public Key RSA 2048 bits	-
Extension Fields		Settings	Critical
keyUsage		digitalSignature	Y
extendedKeyUsage		OCSPSigning	N
OCSP No Check		null	N
CertificatePolicies		Prohibited	N
Authority Key Identifier		SHA-1 hash value of Authority Public Key (160 bits)	N
Subject Key Identifier		SHA-1 hash value of the Subject Public Key (160 bits)	N

7.1.1 Version Number(s)

This CA applies version 3.

7.1.2 Certificate Extension

Certificates issued by this CA use certificate extension fields.

7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifier

The algorithm OID used in this service is as follows:

Algorithm	Object Identifier
rsaEncryption	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-1(1) 1}
sha256WithRSAEncryption	{iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi (113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-1(1) 11}

7.1.4 Name Format

This CA uses the Distinguished Name specified in RFC5280.

For every valid Certification Path (as defined by RFC 5280, Section 6):

For each Certificate in the Certification Path, the encoded content of the Issuer Distinguished Name field of a Certificate SHALL be byte-for-byte identical with the encoded form of the Subject Distinguished Name field of the Issuing CA certificate.

By issuing the Certificate, the CA represents that it followed the procedure set forth in its Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement to verify that, as of the Certificate's issuance date, all of the Subject Information was accurate. CAs SHALL NOT include a Domain Name in a Subject attribute except as specified in Baseline Requirements Section 3.2.2.4.

Distinguished Names MUST NOT contain only metadata such as '.', '-', and ' ' (i.e. space) characters, and/or any other indication that the value is absent, incomplete, or not applicable.

This CA will not issue a certificate with a Subject Alternative Name extension or "common name" field that contains a reserved IP address or internal name.

If the "common name" value is a fully qualified domain name or a wildcard domain name, the "common name" value is encoded as a character-for-character copy of the dNSName entry value in the Subject Alternative Name extension. Specifically, all Domain Labels in the FQDN part of a fully qualified domain name or wildcard domain name are encoded as LDH Labels, and P-Labels does not convert to Unicode.

7.1.5 Name Constraints

Not set in this CA.

7.1.6 Certificate Policy Object Identifier

The OID of the certificate issued by the CA is as described in this CP "1.2 Document Name and Identification".

The following Certificate Policy identifiers are reserved for use by CAs as an optional means of asserting that a Certificate complies with Baseline Requirements.

【For OV certificate】

{joint-iso-itu-t(2) international-organizations(23) ca-browser-forum(140) certificate-policies(1) baseline-requirements(2) organization-validated(2)} (2.23.140.1.2.2)

7.1.7 Use of Policy Constraint Extensions

Not set.

7.1.8 Policy Qualifier Syntax and Semantics

For the policy qualifier, the URI of the Web page that publishes this CP and CPS is stored.

7.1.9 How to interpret Critical Certificate Policy Extensions

Not set.

7.2 CRL Profile

CRLs issued by the CA conform to RFC5280, the profile of which are indicated in the tables below.

Table 7.2-1 SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA CRL Profile

Basic Fields		Settings	Critical
Version		Version 2	-
Signature Algorithm		sha256WithRSAEncryption	-
Issuer	Country	C=JP	-
	Organization	O= SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD.	-
	Common Name	CN= SECOM Passport for Web SR 3.0 CA	-
This Update		Issued date and time of CRL	-
Next Update		Date and time when the next CRL will be issued.	-

		Up to 10 days after thisUpdate.	
Revoked Certificates	Serial Number	e.g.)012345678901234567890123456789ab	-
	Revocation Date	e.g.) 2018/3/1 00:00:00 GMT	-
	Reason Code	e.g.) cessation of operation (Revocation reason) * Setting is optional	-
Extension Fields		Settings	Critical
CRL Number		CRL number	N
Authority Key Identifier		SHA-1 hash value of Authority Public Key (160 bits)	N

7.2.1 Version Number(s)

The CA applies CRL version 2.

7.2.2 CRL Entry Extensions

Table 7.2-2-1 CRL Extensions

Extension	Presence	Critical	Description
authorityKeyIdentifier	Required	N	-
CRLNumber	Required	N	Contains an INTEGER (number) greater than or equal to 0 and less than 2 ¹⁵⁹ .
IssuingDistributionPoint	*	Y	This extension is not recommended for full and complete CRLs. Full and complete CRLs are used in the CA
Any other extension	Not Recommended	-	-

Table 7.2-2-2 Revoked Certificates Component

Component	Presence	Description
serialNumber	Required	Byte-for-byte identical to the serialNumber contained in the revoked certificate.
revocationDate	Required	Nomally the date and time revocation occurred. See below this table for circumstances where backdating is permitted.

crlEntryExtensions	*	For requirements, see "Table 7.2-2-3 crlEntryExtensions Component."
--------------------	---	---

The CA SHOULD update the revocation date in a CRL entry when it is determined that the private key of the Certificate was compromised prior to the revocation date that is indicated in the CRL entry for that Certificate. Backdating the revocationDate field is an exception to best practice described in RFC 5280 (Section 5.3.2); however, the Baseline Requirements specify the use of the revocationDate field to support TLS implementations that process the revocationDate field as the date when the Certificate is first considered to be compromised.

Table 7.2-2-3 crlEntryExtensions Component

CRL Entry Extension	Presence	Description
reasonCode	*	When present (OID 2.5.29.21) , not be marked critical and indicate the most appropriate reason for revocation of the Certificate. However, be omitted if the CRL entry is for a Certificate not technically capable of causing issuance and either 1) the CRL entry is for Subscriber Certificate subject to the Requirements revoked prior to July 15, 2023 or 2) the reason for revocation (i.e.,reasonCode) is unspecified. See the table of “CRLReasons” for the requirements.
Any other value	Not Recommended	-

Table 7.2-2-4 CRLReasons

RFC 5280 reasonCode	RFC 5280 reasonCode value	Description
unspecified	0	Represented by the omission of a reasonCode. However, be omitted if the CRL entry is for a Subscriber Certificate subject to the Baseline Requirements revoked prior to July 15, 2023.
keyCompromise	1	Indicate that it is known or suspected that the Subscriber’s Private Key has been compromised.

affiliationChanged	3	Indicate that the Subject's name or other Subject's Identity Information in the Certificate has changed, but there is no cause to suspect that the Certificate's Private Key has been compromised.
superseded	4	Indicate that the Subscriber has requested a new Certificate, the CA has reasonable evidence that the validation of domain authorization or control for any FDQN or IP address in the Certificate should not be relied upon, or the CA has revoked the Certificate for compliance reasons such as the Certificate does not comply with the Baseline Requirements or the CA's CP or CPS.
cessationOfOperation	5	Indicates that the website with the Certificate is shut down prior to the expiration of the Certificate, or if the Subscriber no longer owns or controls the Domain Name in the Certificate prior to the expiration of the Certificate.
certificateHold	6	MUST NOT be included if the CRL entry is for: 1) a Certificate subject to the Baseline Requirements, 2) a Certificate not subject to the Baseline Requirements and was either: A) issued on-or-after 2020-09-30 or B) has a notBefore on-or-after 2020-09-30.
privilegeWithdrawn	9	Indicates that there has been a subscriber-side infraction that has not resulted in keyCompromise, such as the Certificate Subscriber provided misleading information in their Certificate Request or has not upheld their material obligations under the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use.

The Subscriber Agreement, or an online resource referenced therein, must inform Subscribers about the revocation reason options listed above and provide explanation about when to choose each option. Tools that the CA provides to the Subscriber must allow for these options to be easily specified when the Subscriber requests revocation of their Certificate, with the default value being that no revocation reason is provided (i.e. the default corresponds to the CRLReason "unspecified (0)" which results in no

reasonCode extension being provided in the CRL).

The privilegeWithdrawn reasonCode should not be made available to the Subscriber as a revocation reason option, because the use of this reasonCode is determined by the CA and not the Subscriber.

When the CA obtains verifiable evidence of Key Compromise for a Certificate whose CRL entry does not contain a reasonCode extension or has a reasonCode extension with a non-keyCompromise reason, the CA should update the CRL entry to enter keyCompromise as the CRLReason in the reasonCode extension.

In the CA, the following reasonCode shall be used.

- keyCompromise (1)
- affiliationChanged (3)
- superseded (4)
- cessationOfOperation (5)
- privilegeWithdrawn (9)

7.3 OCSP Profile

The CA operates the OCSP responder in compliance with RFC5019 and 6960.

Effective 2020-09-30, if an OCSP response is for a Root CA or Subordinate CA Certificate, including Cross Certificates, and that certificate has been revoked, then the revocationReason field within the RevokedInfo of the CertStatus MUST be present. Effective 2020-09-30, the CRLReason indicated MUST contain a value permitted for CRLs, as specified in the CP “Section 7.2.2 CRL Entry Extensions”.

7.3.1 Version Number(s)

The CA uses OCSP Version 1.

7.3.2 OCSP Extensions

Refer to this CP “7.1 Certificate Profile”.

The singleExtensions of an OCSP response MUST NOT contain the reasonCode (OID 2.5.29.21) CRL entry extension.

8. Compliance Audit and Other Assessments

The CA performs audits from time to time to examine if the operation thereof is in compliance with this CP and the CPS. Provisions for the compliance verification audits thereof are set forth in this CP and the CPS.

8.1 Frequency and Circumstances of Assessment

SECOM Trust Systems performs compliance audits at least once a year to examine if the operation of the services is in compliance with this CP and the CPS.

8.2 Identity/Qualifications of Assessor

The compliance audits of the CA shall be performed by auditors with solid proficiency in the CA operations.

The audit of the WebTrust-certified CA shall be performed by an auditing firm.

8.3 Assessor's Relationship to Assessed Entity

Auditors to be appointed shall be those who have no special interests in SECOM Trust Systems.

8.4 Topics Covered by Assessment

The CA SHALL undergo an audit as appropriate in accordance with the WebTrust Standards below:

- WebTrust for CAs
- WebTrust for CAs SSL Baseline with Network Security
- WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities - Network Security

8.5 Actions Taken as a Result of Deficiency

SECOM Trust Systems promptly implements corrective measures with respect to the deficiencies identified in the audit report.

8.6 Communication of Results

Audit reports are reported to the Certification Services Improvement Committee. Audit reports are retained and managed to allow access only by the authorized parties. Verification reports based on WebTrust for CA and WebTrust for CA - SSL Baseline with Network Security are made available on a specific website conforming to the rules of WebTrust for CA and WebTrust for CA - SSL Baseline with Network Security.

8.7 Self-Audits

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9. Other Business and Legal Matters

9.1 Fees

9.1.1 Fees for Issuing or Renewing Certificates

Stipulated separately in contracts.

9.1.2 Certificate Access Fee

No stipulation.

9.1.3 Expiration or Access Fee for Status Information

No stipulation.

9.1.4 Fees for Other Services

No stipulation.

9.1.5 Refund Policy

Stipulated separately in contracts.

9.2 Financial Responsibility

9.2.1 Insurance Coverage

SECOM Trust Systems shall maintain a sufficient financial resources for the operation and maintenance of the CA.

9.2.2 Other Assets

No stipulation.

9.2.3 End entity Insurance or Warranty coverage

No stipulation.

9.3 Confidentiality of Business Information

9.3.1 Scope of Confidential Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.3.2 Information Not Within the Scope of Confidential Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.3.3 Responsibility to Protect Confidential Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4 Privacy of Personal Information

9.4.1 Personal Information Protection Plan

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.2 Information Treated as Personal Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.3 Information that is not considered Personal Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.4 Responsibility for protecting Personal Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.5 Notice and Consent regarding use of Personal Information

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.6 Information Disclosure with Judicial or Administrative Procedures

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.4.7 Other Information Disclosure Conditions

Relevant provisions are stipulated in the CPS.

9.5 Intellectual Property Rights

The following copyrighted materials are the property of SECOM Trust Systems.

- this CP

This CP may be reproduced provided that the original document is properly referenced. It is published under the Creative Commons license Attribution-NoDerivatives (CC-BY-ND) 4.0.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>

- SECOM Trust Systems stickers and the sticker certification pages

9.6 Representations and Warranties

9.6.1 CA Representations and Warranties

Secom Trust Systems provides authentication services including subscriber examination, certificate registration, issuance, and revocation in compliance with the contents stipulated in this CP and CPS, and ensure the reliability of authentication work, including the reliability of CA private keys.

Except for the warranties set forth in this CP and CPS, SECOM Trust Systems makes no warranties, explicitly or implied, or in any other way.

By issuing a Certificate, the CA makes the certificate warranties listed herein to the following Certificate Beneficiaries:

1. The Subscriber that is a party to the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use for the Certificate;
2. All Application Software Suppliers with whom the Root CA has entered into a contract for inclusion of its Root Certificate in software distributed by such Application Software Supplier; and
3. All Relying Parties who reasonably rely on a Valid Certificate. The CA represents and warrants to the Certificate Beneficiaries that, during the period when the Certificate is valid, the CA has complied with these Requirements and its Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement in issuing and managing the Certificate.

The Certificate Warranties specifically include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Right to Use Domain Name or IP Address: That, at the time of issuance, the CA
 - i. implemented a procedure for verifying that the Applicant either had the right to use, or had control of, the Domain Name(s) and IP address(es) listed in the Certificate's subject field and subjectAltName extension (or, only in the case of Domain Names, was delegated such right or control by someone who had such right to use or control);
 - ii. followed the procedure when issuing the Certificate; and
 - iii. accurately described the procedure in the CA's Certificate Policy and/or

Certification Practice Statement;

2. Authorization for Certificate: That, at the time of issuance, the CA
 - i. implemented a procedure for verifying that the Subject authorized the issuance of the Certificate and that the Applicant Representative is authorized to request the Certificate on behalf of the Subject;
 - ii. followed the procedure when issuing the Certificate; and
 - iii. accurately described the procedure in the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement;
3. Accuracy of Information: That, at the time of issuance, the CA
 - i. implemented a procedure for verifying the accuracy of all of the information contained in the Certificate (with the exception of the subject:organizationalUnitName attribute);
 - ii. followed the procedure when issuing the Certificate; and
 - iii. accurately described the procedure in the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement;
4. No Misleading Information: That, at the time of issuance, the CA
 - i. implemented a procedure for reducing the likelihood that the information contained in the Certificate's subject:organizationalUnitName attribute would be misleading;
 - ii. followed the procedure when issuing the Certificate; and
 - iii. accurately described the procedure in the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement;
5. Identity of Applicant: That, if the Certificate contains Subject Identity Information, the CA
 - i. implemented a procedure to verify the identity of the Applicant in accordance with Section 3.2 and Section 7.1.4.2..2;
 - ii. followed the procedure when issuing the Certificate; and
 - iii. accurately described the procedure in the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement;
6. Subscriber Agreement: That, if the CA and Subscriber are not Affiliated, the Subscriber and the CA are parties to a legally valid and enforceable Subscriber Agreement that satisfies these Requirements, or, if the CA and Subscriber are the same entity or are Affiliated, the Applicant Representative acknowledged the Terms of Use;
7. Status: That the CA maintains a 24 x 7 publicly-accessible Repository with current information regarding the status (valid or revoked) of all unexpired Certificates;

and

8. Revocation: That the CA will revoke the Certificate for any of the reasons specified in these Requirements.

The Root CA SHALL be responsible for the performance and warranties of the Subordinate CA, for the Subordinate CA's compliance with these Requirements, and for all liabilities and indemnification obligations of the Subordinate CA under these Requirements, as if the Root CA were the Subordinate CA issuing the Certificates

9.6.2 RA Representations and Warranties

Same as this CP "9.6.1 CA Representation and Warranties".

9.6.3 Subscriber Representations and Warranties

The CA SHALL require, as part of the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use, that the Applicant make the commitments and warranties in this section for the benefit of the CA and the Certificate Beneficiaries. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate, the CA SHALL obtain, for the express benefit of the CA and the Certificate Beneficiaries, either:

1. The Applicant's agreement to the Subscriber Agreement with the CA, or
2. The Applicant's acknowledgement of the Terms of Use.

The CA SHALL implement a process to ensure that each Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use is legally enforceable against the Applicant. In either case, the Agreement MUST apply to the Certificate to be issued pursuant to the certificate request. The CA MAY use an electronic or "click-through" Agreement provided that the CA has determined that such agreements are legally enforceable. A separate Agreement MAY be used for each certificate request, or a single Agreement MAY be used to cover multiple future certificate requests and the resulting Certificates, so long as each Certificate that the CA issues to the Applicant is clearly covered by that Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use.

The Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use MUST contain provisions imposing on the Applicant itself (or made by the Applicant on behalf of its principal or agent under a subcontractor or hosting service relationship) the following obligations and warranties:

1. Accuracy of Information: An obligation and warranty to provide accurate and complete information at all times to the CA, both in the certificate request and as

otherwise requested by the CA in connection with the issuance of the Certificate(s) to be supplied by the CA;

2. Protection of Private Key: An obligation and warranty by the Applicant to take all reasonable measures to assure control of, keep confidential, and properly protect at all times the Private Key that corresponds to the Public Key to be included in the requested Certificate(s) (and any associated activation data or device, e.g. password or token);
3. Acceptance of Certificate: An obligation and warranty that the Subscriber will review and verify the Certificate contents for accuracy;
4. Use of Certificate: For TLS server certificate, an obligation and warranty to install the Certificate only on servers that are accessible at the subjectAltName(s) listed in the Certificate, and to use the Certificate solely in compliance with all applicable laws and solely in accordance with the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use;
5. Reporting and Revocation: An obligation and warranty to:
 - a. promptly request revocation of the Certificate, and cease using it and its associated Private Key, if there is any actual or suspected misuse or compromise of the Subscriber's Private Key associated with the Public Key included in the Certificate, and
 - b. promptly request revocation of the Certificate, and cease using it, if any information in the Certificate is or becomes incorrect or inaccurate.
6. Termination of Use of Certificate: An obligation and warranty to promptly cease all use of the Private Key corresponding to the Public Key included in the Certificate upon revocation of that Certificate for reasons of Key Compromise.
7. Responsiveness: An obligation to respond to the CA's instructions concerning Key Compromise or Certificate misuse within a specified time period.
8. Acknowledgment and Acceptance: An acknowledgment and acceptance that the CA is entitled to revoke the certificate immediately if the Applicant were to violate the terms of the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use or if revocation is required by the CA's CP, CPS, or these Baseline Requirements.

9.6.4 Relying Party Representations and Warranties

The Relying Party of the services of this CA has the following obligations:

- Trust the certificate issued by this CA and use the certificate only for the purposes specified by this CA in this CP and CPS.
- When trying to trust a certificate, make sure that the certificate has not been revoked by the CRL or OCSP responder in the repository.

- When trying to trust a certificate, check the validity period of the certificate and confirm that it is within the validity period.
- When trying to trust a certificate issued by this CA, make sure that the certificate can be signed and verified by this CA's certificate.
- Agree to be responsible as a Relying Party as specified in this CP and CPS when trying to trust and use the CA's certificate.

9.6.5 Representations and Warranties of Other Participants

No stipulation.

9.7 Disclaimer of Warranties

SECOM Trust Systems is not liable for any direct, special, incidental or consequential damages arising in connection with the warranties stipulated in "9.6.1 CA Representations and Warranties" and "9.6.2 RA Representations and Warranties" hereof, or for lost earnings, loss of data, or any other indirect or consequential damages.

9.8 Limitations of Liability

SECOM Trust Systems is not liable for the provisions of "9.6.1 CA Representations and Warranties" and "9.6.2 RA Representations and Warranties" hereof in any of the following cases:

- Any damage arising from unlawful conduct, unauthorized use, negligence or any other cause not attributable to SECOM Trust Systems;
- any damage attributable to the failure of a Subscriber to perform its obligations;
- any damage attributable to a Subscriber system;
- damages attributable to the defect or malfunction or any other behavior of the Subscriber environment (hardware or software);
- damages caused by information published in a Certificate, a CRL or on the OCSP responder due to the reasons not attributable to SECOM Trust Systems;
- any damage incurred in an outage of the normal communication due to reasons not attributable to SECOM Trust Systems;
- any damage arising in connection with the use of a Certificate, including transaction debts;
- damages attributable to improvement, beyond expectations at this point in time, in hardware or software type of cryptographic algorithm decoding skills; and
- any damage attributable to the suspension of the CA's operations due to force majeure, including, but not limited to, natural disasters, earthquakes, volcanic

eruptions, fires, tsunamis, floods, lightning strikes, wars, civil commotion and terrorism.

9.9 Indemnities

SECOM Trust Systems shall compensate a Subscriber for the damages incurred thereby for reasons attributable to a Certificate in an amount not to exceed the contract fees received and equal to the fees for the remaining months of the contract period (period of less than one month is rounded off) and shall not be liable in any other way.

9.10 Term and Termination

9.10.1 Term

This CP goes into effect upon approval by the Certification Services Improvement Committee.

This CP will not be invalidated under any circumstances prior to the termination stipulated in "9.10.2 Termination" hereof.

9.10.2 Termination

This CP loses effect as of the termination hereof by SECOM Trust Systems with the exception of the provisions stipulated in "9.10.3 Effect of Termination and Survival".

9.10.3 Effect of Termination and Survival

Even in the event of termination of the use of a Certificate by a Subscriber or the termination of a service provided by SECOM Trust Systems, provisions that should remain in effect, due to the nature thereof, shall survive any such termination, regardless of the reasons therefor, and remain in full force and effect with respect to any Subscriber and the CA.

9.11 Individual Notices and Communications with Participants

SECOM Trust Systems provides the necessary notices to Subscribers and Relying Parties through its website, e-mail or in other written forms.

9.12 Amendments

9.12.1 Procedure for Amendment

This CP shall be revised by SECOM Trust Systems as appropriate and goes into effect upon approval by its Certification Services Improvement Committee.

9.12.2 Notification Method and Timing

Whenever this CP is modified, the prompt publication of the modified CP shall be deemed as the notification thereof to the participants.

9.12.3 Circumstances under Which OID Must Be Changed

OID shall be changed if the Certification Service Improvement Committee determines that it is necessary.

9.13 Dispute Resolution Procedures

A party seeking to file a lawsuit, request arbitration or take any other legal action against SECOM Trust Systems for the resolution of a dispute relating to a Certificate issued by the CA, said party shall notify SECOM Trust Systems to this effect in advance. As regards the location for arbitration and court proceedings, a dispute settlement institution located within Tokyo shall have exclusive jurisdiction.

9.14 Governing Law

The laws of Japan will apply to any dispute concerning the interpretation or validity of this CP and the CPS, as well as the use of the Certificates.

9.15 Compliance with Applicable Law

The CA shall handle cryptographic hardware and software in compliance with relevant export regulations of Japan.

9.16 Miscellaneous Provisions

9.16.1 Entire Agreement

SECOM Trust Systems comprehensively stipulates the obligations of Subscribers and Relying Parties and other relevant matters in this CP, the Service Terms and CPS, for provision of the services. Any agreement otherwise, whether oral or written, shall have no effect.

9.16.2 Assignment

When assigning the services to a third party, SECOM Trust Systems may assign its responsibilities and other obligations specified in this CP, the Service Terms and CPS.

9.16.3 Severability

Even if any provision of this CP, the Service Terms and CPS is deemed invalid, all other provisions stipulated therein shall remain in full force and effect.

In the event of a conflict between these Requirements and a law, regulation or government order (hereinafter 'Law') of any jurisdiction in which a CA operates or issues certificates, a CA MAY modify any conflicting requirement to the minimum extent necessary to make the requirement valid and legal in the jurisdiction.

This applies only to operations or certificate issuances that are subject to that Law. In such event, the CA SHALL immediately (and prior to issuing a certificate under the modified requirement) include in Section 9.16.3 of the CA's CPS a detailed reference to the Law requiring a modification of these Requirements under this section, and the specific modification to these Requirements implemented by the CA.

This CA MUST also (prior to issuing a certificate under the modified requirement) notify the CA/Browser Forum of the relevant information newly added to its CPS by sending a message to questions@cabforum.org and receiving confirmation that it has been posted to the Public Mailing List and is indexed in the Public Mail Archives available at <https://cabforum.org/pipermail/public/> (or such other email addresses and links as the Forum may designate), so that the CA/Browser Forum may consider possible revisions to these Requirements accordingly.

Any modification to CA practice enabled under this section MUST be discontinued if and when the Law no longer applies, or these Requirements are modified to make it possible to comply with both them and the Law simultaneously. An appropriate change in practice, modification to the CA's CPS and a notice to the CA/Browser Forum, as outlined above, MUST be made within 90 days.

9.16.4 Enforcement

Disputes regarding this service shall be governed by the Tokyo District Court, and SECOM Trust Systems may request the parties for compensation and attorney's fees for disputes arising from the contractual provisions of the respective regulatory documents, damages, losses and costs related to the parties' actions.

9.16.5 Irresistible Force

SECOM Trust Systems shall not be liable for any damages caused by natural disasters, earthquakes, eruptions, fires, tsunamis, floods, lightning strikes, disturbances, terrorism, or any other force majeure, whether or not foreseeable. If it becomes impossible to provide this CA, SECOM Trust Systems may suspend this CA until the situation stops.

9.17 Other Provisions

No stipulation